



# EVIDENTIAL STATISTICS

Milo Schield  
© August, 1998



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**Part I: Fundamentals**

1. Practical Reasoning
2. Reasoning With Statistics
3. Reading Tables
4. Interpreting Tables
5. Measurements

**Part II: Graphs and Models**

6. Graphs: Time Dependent
7. One-factor Models
8. Multivariate Models
9. Graphs: Time Independent
10. Advanced Models

**Part III: Probability and Inferential Statistics**

11. Obtaining Statistics: Samples, Surveys and Experiments
12. Statistical Expectations, Chances and Error
13. Statistical Confidence in Estimates
14. Statistical Significance in Judgements
15. Interpreting Statistical Arguments involving Chance

**Part IV: Statistics and Social Issues**

- 16.

**Part V: Reference Materials**

17. Statistics sampled from Normal Distribution
18. Statistics sampled from Log-Normal Distribution
19. Statistics sampled from Exponential Distribution
20. Statistics sampled from Uniform Distribution

# **DEDICATION**

to Aristotle, Aquinas,  
Ayn Rand, and Julian Simon.

# **MISSION**

To help students  
evaluate statistics  
as evidence in arguments

**TO CONSUMERS OF STATISTICS:**

- Primary Goal *Our goal is to read and interpret statistics.*
1. *Statistics are important.* We live in an age of statistics; most arguments involving social issues and public policy involve statistics. Politicians quote statistics to uphold opposing positions. But statistics are a real problem.
  2. *Statistics are more than just numbers.* Statistics look like numbers and generally numbers are facts. But statistics are more than just numbers or facts.
  3. *Statistics are claims -- claims about reality.* Statistics make claims just like sentences and claims can be quite difficult to understand. In this sense, statistics is a language. As humans, we must learn to read and interpret statistics. If we don't we are liable to make more mistakes. And when we make mistakes, we often pay for our mistakes.

People who cannot interpret claims are more easily manipulated and misled. People who can interpret claims are more likely to be independent -- to think for themselves. People who are independent are more likely to be clear in their views and their values. They know why they have chosen their values; they know why they hold their beliefs.

Science of Method *As a discipline, Statistics is a science of method – as are mathematics, logic and critical thinking.* Sciences of method are fundamental; they are used in all disciplines. There are many ways to classify things. The easiest way is to classify by what is most obvious: their content -- numbers or words. But what is most obvious may not be what is most fundamental. Table 1 classifies these four sciences of method according to both their content and their particular method of reasoning.

Table 1

| <b>Sciences of Method</b> | ----- <b>METHOD OF REASONING</b> ----- |                         |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| <b>CONTENT</b>            | FORMAL<br>(Deductive)                  | INFORMAL<br>(Inductive) |
| WORDS                     | Logic                                  | Critical Thinking       |
| NUMBERS                   | Mathematics; Probability               | Evidential Statistics   |

Methods of reasoning There are two methods of reasoning: formal and informal. Formal reasoning is often called categorical reasoning -- the kind we learn in logic All X are Y; all Y are Z. Therefore, all X are Z. Informal reasoning is often called practical reasoning -- the kind we do most often in making a decision: Many X are Y; many Y are Z. Thus, there is reason to believe that X is a Z. For more details on critical thinking (constructing plausible explanations and evaluating the strength of inductive arguments).

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Applicability of Methods                | <p>Logic, mathematics and probability are powerful because they rely on formal logic. But they are quite limited because they don't apply to most situations. Critical thinking and evidential statistics are less powerful because they rely on informal reasoning. But they have a broader scope because we use informal reasoning in our day-to-day living.</p> <p>History, literature, law, journalism, communications and philosophy rely heavily on informal reasoning involving words. Epidemiology, quantitative journalism and quantitative history rely heavily on informal reasoning using numbers.</p>   |
| Content versus method                   | <p><i>Most students view the distinction between words and numbers (rows) as more important than the distinction between the methods of reasoning (columns). The row-distinction is easier to recognize (numbers versus words). The row-distinction explains how these four courses are related to academic departments. Critical thinking and logic are part of Philosophy, statistics and probability are typically part of Mathematics.</i></p>   |
| Method is more fundamental than content | <p><i>This book argues that method is more important than content; the distinction between deduction and induction (columns) is more fundamental than the distinction between words and numbers (rows). This book views statistics as being closer to critical thinking than to mathematics.</i></p> <p>As human beings, inductive reasoning is our primary method of thought. We are not omniscient; we need to choose knowing our choice may be in error. We need to act knowing our actions may have consequences that were unforeseen and perhaps unforeseeable. Thus, our method of thinking is primary; the content of our thinking is secondary. Most statistics texts focus on deductive statistics based on mathematical probability. This text focuses primarily on inductive statistics as a branch of critical thinking.</p>   |
| Challenge                               | <p><i>This approach may be challenging for</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>those who like the certainty of mathematics or logic,</i></li> <li>• <i>those who have difficulty with language -- in this case English.</i></li> </ul> <p>Both groups will need time to read and interpret statistics as evidence.</p> <p><i>This book is different -- radically different! This book is dedicated to helping you learn to think more effectively by using statistics as evidence. This is not a course where students memorize, regurgitate and forget mathematical statistics and then say "I just didn't get it." This course focuses on the thinking we do every day. The principles learned in this book can help you think with more clarity and certainty. If you can master these principles, you will have an insight that many people lack. And with this insight you can work more effectively toward achieving wisdom in all areas of your life.</i></p> |

**THE AUTHOR**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Author   | Milo Schield, Associate Professor at Augsburg College, 2211 Riverside Avenue, Minneapolis, MN 55454 since 1985. He can be contacted at schield@augsborg.edu.  |
| Author's academic and intellectual credentials | Dr. Schield has a Bachelors degree in physics from Iowa State University, a Masters degree in physics from the University of Illinois, and a Ph.D. in space physics from Rice University. He has done post-graduate work at the University of Iowa in Economics and at the University of Minnesota in Insurance and in Business, Government and Society. He has studied philosophy on an on-going basis.  |
| Author's professional credentials              | Dr. Schield has been a Senior Consultant with a national CPA firm for 2 years, a Senior Operations Research Analyst for a large property-casualty insurance company for 8 years, and a co-founder and President of a small computer business for 5 years. He earned a certificate in Managerial Accounting (CMA).   |
| Author's teaching credentials                  | Dr. Schield has taught for over 15 years. He has taught at the University of Iowa, National College, the University of St. Thomas and Augsburg College. He has taught traditional undergraduates, adult undergraduates and graduate students. He has taught a variety of subjects including microeconomics, critical thinking, business ethics and statistics.  |
| Author's statistical credentials               | Doctor Schield has been a visiting scholar at the Royal Statistical Societies' Centre for Statistical Education at the University of Nottingham in Nottingham England. Dr. Schield has given papers on statistical education at numerous conferences in the US, at various colleges in England, Scotland and Wales, and at statistical education workshops in Alaska and Australia. This past summer, he gave talks at Xi'an Statistical Institute in Xi'an, China and at the International Conference on Statistical Education in Singapore, Malaysia.   |
| Publications involving Statistical Education   | <p>1994 <i>Random versus Representative</i>. ASA-JSM, Toronto, Canada.</p> <p>1995 <i>Correlation, Determination &amp; Causality</i>. ASA, Orlando, FL.</p> <p>1996 <i>Goals of Introductory Statistics</i>. Conference on Making Statistics More Effective in Schools of Business. Anchorage, Alaska.</p> <p>1996 <i>Selecting Alpha in Tests of Significance using Bayesian Reasoning</i>, SISCO-96, Sydney, Australia:</p> <p>1996 <i>Using Bayesian Inference in Teaching Classical Hypothesis Testing</i>. ASA-JSM, Chicago, Illinois.</p> <p>1997 <i>Interpreting Confidence</i>. ASA-JSM, Anaheim, California.</p> <p>1998 <i>Evidential Statistics</i>: ASA-JSM, Dallas Texas.</p> <p>ASA papers are published by the Section on Statistical Education:</p> |

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I want to thank my colleagues at St. Thomas and Augsburg for their role in bringing this book into being: Dr. Berni Folz, Earl Alton, Bruce Reichenbach, Amin Kader and John Cerrito.

I am indebted to the following authors for their role in my intellectual development through their publications of novels, texts and audio tapes: Ayn Rand, Nathaniel Branden, Dr. Leonard Peikoff, Dr. Richard Connell, Dr. David Kelly and Dr. Harry Biswanger.

I want to thank the following for their role in my intellectual development through their personal involvement: Eileen Schield (my mother), Dr. Ken Atkinson, Dr. Douglas Rasmussen and Dr. Joel Myklebust.

I want to thank the following for their role in my professional development in statistics: the American Statistical Association, the RSS Centre for Statistical Education, Dr. Anne Hawkins, Peter Holmes, Dr. Colin Howson and Donald McNaughton.

I want to thank those who helped me most personally in this project: Bruce Reichenbach, Gerald Kaminski, Tom VV. Burnham, and Linda Schield.

I learned most of my statistics by reading books on statistics. I want to thank to the many authors of statistics texts. I am heavily indebted to Pissani, Mosteller, Smith, Rosenbaum and Klein.

I want to thank my many students who gave me feedback – both good and bad – about the many drafts of this book. Their feedback enabled me to improve this text in ways that will benefit future students.

I invite comments, criticisms and ideas on how to improve this book.

**TO TEACHERS OF EVIDENTIAL STATISTICS**

*This book is different because it has a different goal.* The goal is not to help students appreciate the truth and power of inferential statistics. The goal is to help students think critically about the support that statistics give to non-statistical conclusions. This book can be used as a supplement -- or as a text -- in a college-level course.

Teaching this material requires different skills. It took me many years to become half as good at teaching evidential statistics as I was at teaching traditional descriptive and inferential statistics. But, being able to help students evaluate statistics as evidence is a most worthy and satisfying goal.

I am tired of hearing students say, "Statistics -- the worst course I ever had." I understood that statistics was hard, but to hear students say it wasn't very relevant was most disheartening. Now I understand why my students were right. In teaching traditional statistics, I focused on the glass being half full; my students saw the glass as being half empty.

Not everyone who teaches traditional descriptive and inferential statistics will be good at teaching evidential statistics. Fortunately, our discipline needs both kinds of teachers. Your job is to see how well you can teach this material and then decide whether that is something you want to do.

Best wishes and a satisfying journey of personal and professional discovery.

25 26 Keywords: evidential statistics; error statistics: Bayesian statistics, information criteria; likelihoodism; statistical inference 27 28 29  
Introduction 30 We were very pleased when we were invited to present at the "Statistics in Population Ecology" symposium.  
The use of statistics in science is a topic dear to both of our hearts 32 and has been the focus of both of our research programs for  
years. We were humbled and 33 In linguistics, evidentiality is, broadly, the indication of the nature of evidence for a given statement; that  
is, whether evidence exists for the statement and if so, what kind. An evidential (also verificational or validational) is the particular  
grammatical element (affix, clitic, or particle) that indicates evidentiality. Languages with only a single evidential have had terms such as  
mediative, m<sup>o</sup>diatif, m<sup>o</sup>diaphorique, and indirective used instead of evidential. Evidential is a meaning of nature Evidential statistics  
is an important advance in model and theory testing, and scientific reasoning in general, combining and extending key insights from  
other philosophies of statistics. A key desiderata in evidential statistics is the rigorous and objective comparison of alternative models  
against data. Scientific theories help to define the range of models